

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/753,882	01/09/2004	Alon Shalev	SHALEV=2A	6142	
1444 7	03/16/2006		EXAM	INER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			GETZOW, SCOTT M		
624 NINTH ST SUITE 300	TREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			3762	3762	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ü			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/753,882	SHALEV ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Scott M. Getzow	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as is a soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is				
• —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 29,31,37-41,45,46,54,57,131-133,135 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 29,31,37-41,45,46,54,57,131-133,135 Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examinet The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. 5-140,143,144 and 410-417 is/are r election requirement. r. epted or b)  objected to by the E	e rejected. Examiner.				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2115/06, 28/66	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/753,882

Art Unit: 3762

## Double Patenting

Page 2

1. Claims 29,131-133,135-140,143,144,410-417 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,28-64,217-239 of copending Application No. 10/258714 in view of Lerner (6410046). See previous office action.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

The above double patenting rejection has been maintained because the terminal disclaimer submitted February 8, 2006 has been rejected. The terminal disclaimer does not indicate the reel and frame information.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/753,882

Art Unit: 3762

3. Claims 29,54,131-133,135-140,410-412,415-417 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-44 of U.S. Patent No. 6,853,858. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are deemed to be obvious to the ordinary artisan over the claims of the '858 patent.

Page 3

4. Claims 29,54,410-412,415-417 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-177 of copending Application No. 10/518322. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are an obvious variant over the claims of the '322 application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims 29,31,37-39,54,57,131-133,136-140,143,144,410-412,415-417 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-129 of copending Application No. 10/952536.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are an obvious variant over the claims of the '536 application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Because new rejections have been made, this action is not being made final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

SMG